





935 Rt. 34, Suite 3A Matawan, NJ 07747

http://www.ac2solutions.com. Telephone: (732) 583-1919

July 29, 2008

Comissioner for Patents U.S.P.T.O P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

We are replying to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment we received on July 28, 2008 regarding our patent application filed on July 7, 2003, Application Number: 10/614,483. Attached please find the following documents:


- Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment received on July 28, 2008
- Office Action summary received on Match 20, 2008
- Detailed Action received on March 20, 2008
- Patent application with amendments (clean copy)
- A list amendments to the original document filed

The amendments filed are correcting minor inaccuracies and do not introduce any new matter.

We hope that this version will satisfy the concerns raised by the examiner and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely yours,

Turgut Aykin, Ph.D.



Turgut Aykin 10 Ocean Blvd., Apt. #5C Atlantic Highlands, NJ 07716

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

Application No.:	10/614,483	Date Mailed:	07/25/2008
First Named Inventor:	Aykin, Turgut,	Examiner:	SAINDON, WILLIAM V
Attorney Docket No.:		Art Unit:	3623
Confirmation No.:	7784	Filing Date:	07/07/2003

Please find attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9 2008 (;;)			
on Mice of Non-Compliant Amendment	Application No. Applicant(s) AYKIN, TURGUT		JT
(37 CFR 1.121)		Art Unit 3700	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ldress –
The amendment document filed on <u>25 June</u> , <u>2008</u> is correquirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the an item(s) is required.	nsidered non-compliant becaus nendment document to be com	e it has failed to me pliant, correction of	et the the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include  B. New paragraph(s) should not be unde  C. Other	markings.	D BE NON-COMPL	IANT:
<ul><li>2. Abstract:</li><li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37</li><li>B. Other</li></ul>	7 CFR 1.72.		
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed does nowing amended figures, without materials.</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	CFR 1.121(d). rawing correction has been elin	ninated. Replaceme	ent drawings
<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include to</li> <li>☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. Not number by using one of the following: <ul> <li>(Previously presented), (New), (Not entered)</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper to</li> <li>☐ E. Other:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	the text of all pending claims (in the proper status identifier, ar ote: the status of every claim m status identifiers: (Original), (Cu ntered), (Withdrawn) and (Witho	nd as such, the indiv nust be indicated afteurently amended), ( drawn-currently ame	vidual status er its claim (Canceled), ended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or n of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.12		7 CFR 1.4): For furth	ner explanation
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTION.  1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-confiled after allowance, or a drawing submission (only) amendment with corrections, the entire corrected at	impliant amendment is an after- If applicant wishes to resubmi	it the non-compliant	an amendmen after-final
2. Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, who correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued amendment filed within a suspension period under 3 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1 to 4 are checknon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF	of the following: a preliminary and examination (RCE) under 37 CF 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an acked, the correction required is a	mendment, a non-fin FR 1.114), a suppler amendment filed in l	nal amendment mental response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR	1.136(a) only if the non-complia	ant amendment is a	non-final

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

amendment.

Telephone No: (571)272-4378

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental


amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable /EVELYN G. NIMMONS/

filed in response to a Quayle action; or

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/614,483	AYKIN, TURGUT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William V. Saindon	3623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	<u>ly 2003</u> .				
, ——, —— , —— , —— , —— , —— , —— , ——	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•	xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
·					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (F	TO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	επι Αμμικατίστι			



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

7/

Application/Control Number: 10/614,483

Art Unit: 3623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The following election/restriction is in response to Applicant's submission received July 7, 2003. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are pending and subject to restriction.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7, 9-18, and 20, drawn to developing an optimal workforce schedule, classified in class 705, subclass 9.
  - II. Claim19, drawn to a rounding algorithm, classified in class 705, subclass7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as developing a rounding algorithm. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a

Application/Control Number: 10/614,483

Art Unit: 3623

claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,483

Art Unit: 3623

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William V. Saindon whose telephone number is (571)270-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5, alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,483

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/wvs/ /Scott L Jarrett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623